arXiv:astro-ph/9812255v1 14 Dec 1998

THE LITHIUM PLATEAU ENIGMA

C.CHARBONNEL AND S.VAUCLAIR
Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Toulouse - OMP
14, av.E.Belin, 31400 Toulouse, France

1. The lithium plateau enigma may be summarized as follows :

Main-sequence Pop II stars stars with effective temperatures between 5500K and 6500K show a remarquably constant value for the lithium abundance ($\Longleftrightarrow$ the lithium plateau). Furthermore the dispersion around this value is very small (Bonifacio & Molaro 1997). However, from the observations of Pop I stars, there is strong evidence that the lithium abundance highly varies from star to star. The variation with $T_{\rm eff}$ and age clearly appears in the galactic cluster data.

From the theory and modelisation of stellar internal structure, lithium is expected to vary from star to star due to both nuclear destruction and/or element settling. These effects account well for the observations of Pop I stars, although more quantitative comparisons between observational and theoretical results are still needed. Helioseimology now provides a spectacular confirmation of the precision we have attained in the modelisation of the solar internal structure, including element settling (Richard et al. 1996).

So why is the lithium abundance constant in the so-called lithium plateau while all predictions suggest that it should vary from star to star? Is there an "abundance attractor" which would work in Pop II stars but not in Pop I stars?

2. Hints for a solution

Several models have been proposed to account for the lithium plateau. The "old standard model" in which no settling was introduced is excluded as unphysical. In the "mass loss model" (Vauclair & Charbonnel 1995), a stellar wind is supposed to prevent element settling during the stellar lifetime. In the "rotation model" (Pinsonneault et al. 1990, Charbonnel & Vauclair 1999), the Pop II stars are supposed to have been mildly mixed

below the convection zone due to rotation-induced shears. In any case the solution seems somewhat "ad hoc" as it assumes that some parameters are fixed in all stars (the mass loss rate or the rotation rate) for the lithium value to remain constant along the plateau. It would be much more satisfying to find a "lithium abundance attractor" which would remain stable in halo stars while fundamental parameters (M_*, $T_{\rm eff}$, [Fe/H]) vary.

3. Lithium abundance attractor

Such an attractor may exist (Vauclair & Charbonnel 1998) : Indeed, the lithium profiles inside the Pop II standard stellar models including element segregation present a maximum value, $Li_{\rm max}$, which remains constant all over the range in $T_{\rm eff}$ and metallicity of the plateau while the surface value is expected to change.

This result leads to the idea that the observed lithium abundances may be related to $Li_{\rm max}$. Since the observations of the lithium in the plateau reveal a very small dispersion around a stable value, this value must indeed lie close to $Li_{\rm max}$. In this case the derived primordial value is 2.35. When compared to BBN computations (Copi et al. 1995) this result leads to a baryonic number between 1.2 and 5 10^{-10}. For H = 50, this value corresponds to $0.018 < \Omega_b < 0.075$. The macroscopic process which would act in the way of moving this lithium up to the surface is still to be found.

References

Bonifacio P., Molaro P., 1997, MNRAS, 285, 847
Charbonnel C., Vauclair S., 1999, in preparation
Copi, C.J., Schramm, D.N., & Turner, M.S., 1995, Science, 267, 192
Pinsonneault M.H., Kawaler S.D., Demarque P., 1990, ApJS 74, 501
Richard O., Vauclair S., Charbonnel C., Dziembowski W.A., 1996, A&A 312, 1000
Vauclair S., Charbonnel C., 1995, A&A 295, 715
Vauclair S., Charbonnel C., 1998, ApJ, 502, 372